

## SEVERE IN CRITICISM OF BOTH OLD PARTIES

Roosevelt Says Only Progressives  
See Need of Far-Reaching Con-  
structive Policies.

**MAKES TALK AT NEW ORLEANS**  
Republicans Want Rank and File  
Shackled and Under Control of  
Bosses, and Democrats Are Not  
Fit to Run Government.

NEW ORLEANS, September 7.—Theodore Roosevelt in a speech at the French Opera House to-night decried the "backward and reactionary" policy of the government in handling the tariff question, particularly as it affected the Louisiana sugar interests, reiterated his charges that paying \$25,000,000 to Colombia as a result of the deal which the United States obtained the Panama Canal Zone would be blackmail, and left late to-night for a one-day campaign in the Third Louisiana Congressional District. The Third District comprises the largest sugar-growing section of the State.

Admission to the opera house was gained only by cards, which had been issued by the local Progressive committee. Some time before the formal President began his address, the place was filled, the doors were closed.

In the afternoon, at a Labor Day picnic, he emphasized that labor unions in principle were all right, and if led by the right sort of men, worked toward a good end.

"There are little crooks as well as big ones, and the Progressive party is after all of them," he said.

Colonel Roosevelt urged that "this government, instead of paying \$25,000,000 to alien wrongdoers and their heirs," as proposed in the dispute with Colombia over the Panama Canal property, should "use that \$25,000,000 and the plant of the Panama Canal, and as many scores of millions extra as are necessary, to take control of the Mississippi and to develop all its possibilities of usefulness from the headwaters to the delta."

Colonel Roosevelt made a particular point of the flood problem, which he said must be met not only by levees, but great storage reservoirs upstream, which would not only stop the floods, but serve water for irrigation purposes. He reminded his hearers that he had been an advocate of Federal control of the river for a long time. "I insisted upon it when I was President," he said. "But neither of the old parties adopted it. It is only the Progressive party that has seen the need of such a far-reaching constructive policy."

He spoke warmly of the Progressives in Louisiana. There were no other members of the party, he said, who more emphatically deserved well of the party than the Progressives of Louisiana.

He spoke of the old Republican public party as having a "deliberate purpose to become the bulwark of Bourbon antagonism to advance throughout the nation," and said the Progressives were to outwork principles of government, and deal and buried economic theories.

The day was Labor Day, which led him to discuss the industrial and economic situation. He said the old parties were wedded to their ideas. The Republican party by every action it has taken during the past two years has made it evident that it intends to keep the tariff as it is, and to put under the control of the great bosses of the stamp of Mr. Penrose and Mr. Penrose.

On the other hand, the Democratic party, which has possessed absolute power in Washington for the last two years, has shown not the faintest symptom of a real understanding of the needs of the people. It has done nothing whatever for labor, and the tariff and the trusts and the tariff have shown that it is not fit to run the government. With the trusts, they have added the policy of "fixing" so as to hit it if it is near and to miss it if it is a calf.

He told his audience that the people of Louisiana were among those hardest hit by the tariff and the "fixing" policy, which the government had adopted as regards the tariff. He asserted that the promise to break up the trusts and reduce the cost of living had not been kept. He took up in detail the matter of sugar, which Louisiana is closely identified. The removal of duty on this commodity, he said, has been a big contributing cause to the present depression of sugar, not only seriously affecting the sugar industry, but causing damage upon the whole public.

**MANY ATTEND FUNERAL**  
The funeral of Robert Taylor Scott, eldest son of Judge R. Carter Scott, who was killed Saturday morning in an automobile accident near Middleburg, was held yesterday morning at 11 o'clock from St. Paul's Episcopal church. A large concourse of friends, among them many jurists and members of the bar, filled the church, and banks of flowers attested the esteem in which the young man was held. Interment was in Hollywood cemetery.

Funeral of the late Mr. J. H. Farringham and A. M. Morrison, who were injured in the same accident, are said to be recovering.

**Gun Accidentally Discharged.**  
Sidney Burnette, of T. V. Tignor's firm, 1045 East Main Street, accidentally discharged a shot gun in the store yesterday and some of the shot are said to have struck a man named English, who was on a passing streetcar. English is said not to have been injured to any extent.

**FUNERAL NOTICES**  
TAYLOR.—The funeral services of the late CLARA TAYLOR will be held in the Chappell burying ground, Norfolk, James City County, TUESDAY, September 8, at 1:30 P. M.

BOWIE.—The funeral of MRS. ROSA BOWIE took place from Highland Park M. E. Church yesterday afternoon at 3:30. Services were conducted by Rev. C. O. Tuttle, assisted by Rev. C. H. Boggs and Rev. G. H. McFadden. The following gentlemen acted as pallbearers: Active—C. D. Diggle, J. W. Dickinson, Jr., W. W. Tiller, J. J. Connel, P. B. Hatcher, J. A. Devine, and J. H. Thomas. Honorary—Hon. Henry C. H. Parker, Dr. P. H. Readies, Dr. F. K. Lord and Captain Anders Dickinson.

**DEATHS**  
HILL.—Died, September 7, at 5 A. M., at her residence, 211 Pleasant Street, Mrs. MARY J. HILL. She leaves one daughter, Mrs. Mattie E. Taylor, five grandchildren, Lillie and Nettie Oxley and Mrs. C. R. L. and Mary Dixon, of Richmond, and one brother, Eldridge Parrish, of Bedford City, Va. **MORNING** funeral from 423 Venable Street Baptist Church. Interment in Oakwood.

SAVORY.—Died, at the residence of his daughter, Mrs. Sarah Bottoms, 420 West Fourteenth Street, South Richmond, MRS. O. A. SAVORY, aged eighty-nine.

Funeral from Bainbridge Street Baptist Church. THIS AFTERNOON at 4 o'clock.

CABELL.—Died, at the residence of her niece, in Petersburg, Va., at 1 A. M., September 7, MARY GRACE CABELL, formerly of the household of Mrs. Francis M. Boykin.

## IRVINE APPEALS FOR SUPPORT OF VOTERS IN NINTH

(Continued From First Page.)

"heightens the pleasure that I always take in addressing the pure and unadorned democracy of this splendid County of Lee."

"Mr. Slomp in a recent published statement said that the principal issue of this campaign is whether or not the Democratic administration has been a success, whether it meets with the approval of the people of the Ninth District, or meets with their disapproval. We are in the gauge of battle thrown down, and go further and in addition to this, Mr. Slomp himself is an issue, and a big issue, in this campaign. Do the people approve of him as a representative of the Democratic party, or do they not? Do they approve of his political allies and their methods? In other words, I shall ask the question from the beginning to the end of this struggle, is this district stand-pat or is it progressive? Is it Bourbon and reactionary, or is it forward-moving liberal? Does it approve of boss rule, whether of big bosses like Cannon, Penrose and Barnes, or smaller bosses like Mr. Slomp and Mr. Summers, or does it believe in the power and might of the people themselves to govern? When these issues have been thoroughly discussed before the voters of the Ninth District, I shall have no doubt of the verdict they will render at the polls."

**CONTRACT TO EFFECT CERTAIN REFORMS**  
"When our party assumed power it was under express contract with the people, evidenced by its Baltimore platform, to effect certain reforms, and to put into effect certain policies of government."

"The first step taken in fulfilling these pledges was the enactment of the Underwood tariff law. And this law will wage the chief warfare of this campaign. Our opponents say it is wrong in theory and destructive in practice. They say it is right in theory and beneficial in results. Let us see. The Republicans say that our policy is against the laborer and the farmer. We challenge that party to show where their policy has ever helped either. They put no tariff on labor, and no tariff on foreign labor comes in free and competes with the American laborer, and labor competes with labor everywhere."

"The platform of like every one else, gets his labor at the lowest possible cost. No one knows better than the laborer himself that the manufacturer is not a charitable or benevolent society. It is true that the American laborer is the best paid in the world, but it is also true that most of the fruits of his labor are plucked from him by the trusts and combines in the United States. The laborer is paid best because he earns more. We have the best machinery and most modern methods of doing things, and this is chiefly why we have the best paid labor. The trusts and combines take and neither do they spin. Their chief labor is in lobbying with Congress to give their higher prices for their goods in return for their laborers, and then they never give a farthing of it to the working man."

"The Underwood law is framed in the interest of the workman and the duties formerly paid on cotton clothing and by two-thirds that on wooden clothing. It reduces the duties on that enter into the daily life of the people. It reduces the duties on the heaviest duties are upon luxuries. It admits free of duty imports to nearly \$100,000,000 annually, nearly all being articles of necessity, on most of which the tariff formerly ranged from 20 to 200 per cent. The average duty under the Payne-Adrich law was about 40 per cent while under the Underwood law it is only 5 per cent."

"Yet this law raises ample revenue for the support of the government. For the first nine months it produced from imports \$25,000,000 monthly, while the Payne-Adrich law produced only \$22,000,000, and the Payne-Adrich law raised \$27,000,000. For the first full year of the administration, ending June 30 last, there was a surplus of \$34,000,000 of revenue."

"The crowning feature of the Underwood law is the income tax. The Democratic party has fought for a generation to put an income tax law upon the statute books. The country and the people have fought against it at every turn. The Progressives have always favored it. Mr. Slomp has been with the standpatters. In his Bristol platform he promised to repeal the income tax. I would like to ask him, if by any chance he should be returned to Congress and his party should be returned to power, would he vote to repeal the income tax law? He will never answer yes to this question; if he should his retirement would no longer be in doubt."

**NEW CURRENCY LAW**  
**GREAT ACHIEVEMENT**

"The second great achievement of the first year of Woodrow Wilson and the Democratic party is the Glass-Steagall currency law. For twenty years the Republican party had compromised with that subject. They promised legislation, but their promises were unfulfilled."

"In this great act the Democratic party has in one year what the Republican party had been trying in vain to do for twenty years. This legislation alone would be glory enough for one administration. It has been given to few administrations in our national history to enact so splendid and far-reaching an act of constructive legislation as this. Its effect upon the nation has been electrical. Financial confidence has been restored everywhere. Commercial doubt and distrust have disappeared. Within a week after its passage, the very men who had fought it hardest were high-spirited and full of life."

**OBITUARY**  
W. J. Dingledine.

[Special to The Times-Dispatch].  
HARRISONBURG, Va., September 7.—W. J. Dingledine, fifty-two years old, cashier of the National Bank, died on Sunday afternoon at 2 o'clock at his home on South Main Street. For eight months he had been ill from overwork and too close attention to various duties. He was chairman of the Harrisonburg School Board and had played his part in raising the educational standard of the town. An extensive promoter of local progress, he was known among the members of the Virginia Horticultural Society. He was a member of the Harrisonburg Lodge, No. 1, and once taught school at New Market. In the late 80's he was connected with the National Bank of America in Baltimore, and after a year in New York City. He came to Harrisonburg the second time in 1900.

He leaves his widow, who was Miss M. Black, of Baltimore, and three children, Mrs. M. Black, Mrs. M. Black, and Kendall Dingledine, both students of the University of Virginia, and Miss Gladys Dingledine, of Harrisonburg. His aged father, John B. Dingledine, lives near Mount Vernon, Va.

**Henry Wissner.**  
[Special to The Times-Dispatch].  
FREDERICKSBURG, Va., September 7.—Henry Wissner, a native of Germany, for many years a shoe merchant here, died at his home in this city, after a lingering illness, aged seventy-two years. He is survived by his wife, one son, three daughters and one half-sister.

**Mrs. Besse M. Skidmore.**  
FREDERICKSBURG, Va., September 7.—Mrs. Besse M. Skidmore, of Stafford County, died at her home yesterday, after a lingering illness of tuberculosis. She is survived by her husband and several children.

est in its praise. It was not passed, however, without long and bitter opposition from the money trust and their standard allies. All of the Democrats and Progressives and many of the business and publicans voted for it, but Mr. Slomp, with eighty-four other standpatters, fewer than one-fifth of the members, voted against the bill, the bill of the House of Representatives and the money trust. Having done so, we have the right to assume that if he should be re-elected and given the chance he will vote to repeal the Glass-Steagall law. Do the voters of the Ninth District favor its repeal?

"The third of the chief subjects of contract, between the Democratic party and the American people, was the anti-trust legislation."

"The Democratic policy is set out in three bills now before Congress, which will shortly become laws. These are the Interstate Commerce Commission bill, the bill amending the Sherman antitrust law, and the bill amending the Interstate Commerce Commission power over the issuance of the securities of railroads and other public service corporations. The passage of these bills into law will, as President Wilson has so well said, complete the new constitution of freedom for America. Big business, which is good and fair and just, will not be harmed, but will be set free from suspicion, doubt and uncertainty. Big business which is not good will be made to obey the law, to cease from private monopoly and unfair competition and to respect the rights of others. Business conditions will become stable and secure throughout the country. It will be possible to dissolve the trusts and combinations in restraint of trade and to curb other forms of monopoly and to prevent the managers of railroads from issuing watered stocks and bonds to burden the present and future generations."

"These bills were so manifestly good, and the public sentiment demanding their enactment, that the Democratic party, the Republican party, the commission bill, only twelve voted against the stock and bond bill, and only fifty-four, one of whom was Mr. Slomp, voted against the anti-trust bill. Republicans ought to stop criticizing the Democratic administration or stop voting for its measures."

**LANDS FOREIGN POLICY**

**OF WILSON AND BRYAN**  
"The platform of the convention of July 3, upon which Mr. Slomp was nominated, declares:

"The foreign policy of the State Department, directed by the President and his Secretaries of State, has been weak, so vacillating and so un-American as to excite ridicule from the diplomats of the world."

"If this same body of distinguished statesmen were to meet to-day, I doubt if in their infinite folly, they would repeat this indictment; yet I admit it is impossible to forecast what Messrs. Slomp, Summers and Co. will not do when in convention assembled. They are past graduates of the Chicago convention, which so successfully robbed Roosevelt and sent Taft and the stand-pat party down the only track to a ignominious grave. Who is the diplomatic world that is alleged to ridicule the foreign policy of Wilson and Bryan? Can there be found to-day any statesman of any consequence who would ridicule this policy, except that distinguished band of diplomats who ran Mr. Slomp's convention and wrote this resolution."

"What are the weak and vacillating and un-American things that are alleged to excite the ridicule of these great diplomats?"

"Was it the Wilson and Bryan policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of the policy of watching Mexico, that they thought excited one ridicule of the diplomatic world? If so, let them go to 20,000,000 homes in Europe to-day and ask the uneducated and tearful mothers of the children who are in the long battle lines and whose blood is drenching the fertile fields of that continent, what they think of